

# USP Roundtable for DS Protein Standards

Hosted on February 07, 2017 USP-U.S., Rockville, MD



#### Discussion Agenda

- Identification Tests for Proteins from Various Sources
- Quantitative Determination of Proteins
- Determination of the Purity of Proteins
- Limits for Contaminants in Proteins
- Labelling, Packaging, Storage, and Handling



### **Identification Tests for Proteins from Various Sources**

#### **Current identification tests for proteins used in industry**

- Comprehensive supplier chain qualification program helps reduce routine ID tests at the manufacturing site. Some manufacturers audit suppliers on a quarterly or annual basis.
- Typical identification tests: <u>appearance</u>, <u>organoleptic</u>, <u>Kjeldahl</u>, <u>Near Infrared (NIR)</u> for process monitoring and QC release.
- Amino acid profiling is used on a demand basis by customers.

#### Suggested identification tests for proteins from various sources

- Manufacturers were aware of advanced tests: electrophoresis, CE, peptide mapping, mass spectrometry, ELISA for plant based proteins.
- Suggested that <u>amino acid profiling in combination with protein profiling</u> with electrophoresis (SDS PAGE) is feasible and suitable.



### **Quantitative Determination of Proteins from Various Sources**

#### **Current quantification tests for different sources**

- The standard method for protein quantification in industry is Kjeldahl or combustion (Dumas).
- NIR is commonly used for protein quantification. Total amino acid (AA) contents is believed to provide accurate protein contents.

### Suggested quantification tests for protein ingredients and finished products containing proteins from various sources

- Suggested that Kjeldahl or Dumas is a widely accepted quantification method.
- Total Amino Acids (AA) can be used as a complementary method to Kjeldahl or Dumas. Total AA methods require further standardization and validation.



### Determination of the Purity of Proteins from Various Sources

#### Impurities/specific tests for proteins

- Dairy protein industry routinely test for loss on drying (LOD), ash, fat and lactose.
- Some manufacturers test for non-protein nitrogen contents through precipitation or molecular weight filtration.
- The soy industry tests for fat and minerals.
- Rice proteins are tested for heavy metals (lead, and arsenic). Heavy metals in rice is a global concern.

#### Suggested purity tests for protein ingredients from various sources

Non-protein nitrogen contents, LOD, fat, ashes, lactose for dairy proteins



### Limits for Contaminants in Proteins from Various Sources

### Suggested tests for <u>chemical</u> contaminants in protein ingredients from various sources

- Mycotoxins (e.g. Aflatoxins), heavy metals, and pesticides for vegetable proteins
- Nitrogen containing compounds (e.g. Nitrile, Nitrate, Melamine, Cyanuric acid, Urea, Amidinourea, Ammelide, Ammeline, Biuret, Cyromazin, Dicyandiamide)

### Suggested tests for <u>microbiological</u> contaminants in protein ingredients from various sources

- Stakeholders select tests for microbiological contaminants based on HACCP and their own risk assessments depending on sources.
- Suggested total plate counts (TPC), yeast-molds, *E. coli* and *Salmonella*. In addition, *Listeria for* dairy protein manufacturers.



#### Learnings from the Roundtable

#### Identification

- Should be specific to various sources and processes
- Orthogonal approach (candidates)
  - Protein profiling (SDS PAGE)
  - Amino acid profiling

#### Assay

- Should address the true content of proteins.
- Orthogonal approach (candidates)
  - Non-specific nitrogen determination (Kjeldahl or Dumas)
  - Total amino acid contents

#### Limits for Contaminants

- Should consider risk mitigation approaches & specific acceptance criteria.
  - Heavy metals
  - Potential adulterants (Nitrogen containing compounds)



## Thank You